

The Power of Shared Decision Making in the **assessment of needs**

*Marjanne Bontje & Mascha Kamphuis
GGD HM, JGZZHW, The Netherlands*

1. Do you work *directly with parents and/or adolescents?*

2. Do you stimulate *active participation of your clients* in the assessment process?

*3. Do you work according a
structured method?*

4. Do you use *visual tools* in the assessment process?

5. *Is the method scientifically researched?*

Introducing
Gezamenlijk
Inschatten
Zorgbehoeften


*Development,
Implementation
Research*

Needs assessment

→ intervention

→ effect

What is the **GIZ** method?



- Target group: parents (0-23), children, adolescents, young people

Transition professional

- one-direction → **active participation** client in the assessment and share decision making
- Problem focussed → **strenghts**
- Fragmentation → **whole child** in relation to parents and environment



© Public Cinema

Adolescent:

*"I could easily tell about how I am doing,
at home and at school."*



Mother: *'Normally speaking you forget what you have told. With this visual tool I get an overview of my strenghts and needs.'*

Development 2011 - 2013

- Context: Academic Collaborative Centre
- Scientific and practical based
- Tailored to the needs of parents, adolescents and professionals



2013 Pilot GIZ YHC N= 634

Parents, adolescents:

- Schedules: understandable, useful.
- Own contribution higher than professionals,
- Agreements on care

Professionals

- GIZ= workable

Advise: Training, schedules for language barrier

Implementation

- Dissemination: information
 - Adoption: support
 - Implementation: training, coördinators
 - Continuation: intervision
integration in workprocess
monitoring use
-
- > 2500 professionals YHC & YC
 - 2016: Regional -> National Institute Youth Health (NCJ)

Research effects

- Consolidation
- Importance to study **effectiveness** of methods
- YHC; Prevention hard to study
 - Results are often far away

Study design (1)

- Comparing YHC organisations working **with / without GIZ**
- Outcome measures
 - Agreement on **needs to care**: parent versus professional
 - Experience with **shared decision making**: parent
 - **Motivation** to start with follow-up/ care needs: parent

Contacts: 6 months, 2 yrs, group 2 / 5-6 yrs
Research on Indication : 0-12 years

April 2016 – december 2018

Study design (2)

Questionnaires:

- Parents:
 - after GIZ
 - after 4 months
- Professional:
 - after GIZ
 - experience

Child file

! Validated questionnaires developed for care

Inclusion

| | JGZZHW | | GGD HM | | HvB | |
|--------------|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|
| Total (2000) | 500 | | 500 | | 1000 | |
| | regular | OI | regular | OI | regular | OI |
| | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 500 | 500 |
| 6 mnd | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 167 | 167 |
| 2 Yrs | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 167 | 167 |
| 5-6 Yrs | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 166 | 167 |

- Regular: +
- Difficult: control group, OI
- Results: autumn 2018

Thank you for your attention

- m.kamphuis@jgzzhw.nl
- mbontje@ggdhm.nl

